

# Context of Discovery and Scientific Creativity

Harald Atmanspacher, IGPP Freiburg

Introduction

Creative Activity and Insight

Some Ideas by Pauli and Jung

Summary, Perspectives

Selected Literature

According to Reichenbach, every scientist is exposed to psychological, social, and cultural circumstances which play a role when he discovers new results.

The legitimacy of a result, however, can neither be justified nor rejected by such *contexts of discovery*.

For the rational reconstruction of a result, for its *context of justification*, mathematical and logical deductions are to be used.



Hans Reichenbach  
1891–1953

出所 <http://www.humnet.ucla.edu/humnet/phil/Lectures/Reich.htm>

*In my publications I did, of course, not entertain the reader with my aberrations, but only described the paved way to him along which he can now effortlessly reach the summit.*

*Hermann von Helmholtz (1903)*

*We can say at least one thing with certainty about biography: the ideas and opinions expressed by our subject came from a single mind and are integrated to the extent that that person was able to integrate them in his own thoughts. ... Science is created by individuals, and however much it may be driven by forces from outside, these forces work through the scientist himself. Biography is the literary lens through which we can best view this process. ... Letters written under great emotional stress are the best grist for the biographer's mill, because they lead straight to the heart of the subject's personality and reveal the groundsprings from which his actions come.*

Hankins 1979

In this spirit, biographical material about creative work was collected and interpreted by, e.g., Paulhan (1901), Wallas (1927), Hadamard (1954), Simonton (1988), Miller (2000).

*A still neglected chapter in the history of science literature is the integration of psychological factors, which Stefan Zweig has demonstrated in his historical portraits. For a complete description of the forces shaping individuals and, hence, their scientific work, their **psychological conditionality** would have to be included together with **internal scientific constraints** and **social boundary conditions**. Since in most cases access to the necessary source material is restricted by a screened private sphere, such an enterprise can only be carried out under exceptional circumstances.*

*von Meyenn 1997*

Such material exists, for instance, from scientists such as Gauss, Helmholtz, Poincaré, Einstein, Dirac, Pauli, and others.

[For artistic creativity, many sources exist as well, not considered here.]

- ▶ *Preparation*: Creative insight is typically preceded by “days of voluntary effort which has appeared absolutely fruitless” (Poincaré) – sometimes this takes even years. quote

- ▶ *Preparation*: Creative insight is typically preceded by “days of voluntary effort which has appeared absolutely fruitless” (Poincaré) – sometimes this takes even years. quote
- ▶ *Incubation*: The preceding work sets the unconscious machinery going: “Unconscious elements rose in crowds; I felt them collide” (Poincaré). “This combinatorial play seems to be the essential feature in creative thought” (Einstein).

- ▶ *Preparation*: Creative insight is typically preceded by “days of voluntary effort which has appeared absolutely fruitless” (Poincaré) – sometimes this takes even years. quote
- ▶ *Incubation*: The preceding work sets the unconscious machinery going: “Unconscious elements rose in crowds; I felt them collide” (Poincaré). “This combinatorial play seems to be the essential feature in creative thought” (Einstein).
- ▶ *Illumination*: “Pairs [of unconscious elements] interlocked, making a stable combination” (Poincaré). This configuration is experienced as the sudden and unexpected formation of a new idea – an “aha”-experience of insight not unfolded in time. quote



- ▶ *Preparation*: Creative insight is typically preceded by “days of voluntary effort which has appeared absolutely fruitless” (Poincaré) – sometimes this takes even years. quote
- ▶ *Incubation*: The preceding work sets the unconscious machinery going: “Unconscious elements rose in crowds; I felt them collide” (Poincaré). “This combinatorial play seems to be the essential feature in creative thought” (Einstein).
- ▶ *Illumination*: “Pairs [of unconscious elements] interlocked, making a stable combination” (Poincaré). This configuration is experienced as the sudden and unexpected formation of a new idea – an “aha”-experience of insight not unfolded in time. quote
- ▶ *Verification*: The insight needs to be reconstructed by a succession of rational arguments which can be communicated. “Conventional words or signs have to be sought for laboriously” (Einstein).

Long history of research on creative insight (Knoblich and Öllinger 2008):

Wertheimer, Duncker, Luchin, ...: Gestalt psychology

Long history of research on creative insight (Knoblich and Öllinger 2008):

Wertheimer, Duncker, Luchin, ...: Gestalt psychology

Newell, Simons, ...: search strategies in problem space

Long history of research on creative insight (Knoblich and Öllinger 2008):

Wertheimer, Duncker, Luchin, ...: Gestalt psychology

Newell, Simons, ...: search strategies in problem space

Knoblich, Jones, Ohlsson, ...: representational change approach

Long history of research on creative insight (Knoblich and Öllinger 2008):

Wertheimer, Duncker, Luchin, ...: Gestalt psychology

Newell, Simons, ...: search strategies in problem space

Knoblich, Jones, Ohlsson, ...: representational change approach

Luo/Nicki, Haider, Verleger, Reverberi, ...: neural correlates

Of particular interest: “chance-configuration model” (Simonton 1988):

- ▶ Destabilization of existing categories initiates and maintains the incubation phase: unconscious elements permute and combine involuntarily, by chance.

## Of particular interest: “chance-configuration model” (Simonton 1988):

- ▶ Destabilization of existing categories initiates and maintains the incubation phase: unconscious elements permute and combine involuntarily, by chance.
- ▶ Stability properties of particular unconscious configurations of elements imply that they become conscious: illumination.

## Of particular interest: “chance-configuration model” (Simonton 1988):

- ▶ Destabilization of existing categories initiates and maintains the incubation phase: unconscious elements permute and combine involuntarily, by chance.
- ▶ Stability properties of particular unconscious configurations of elements imply that they become conscious: illumination.
- ▶ Stability becomes a criterion for the *selection* among many *chance* configurations (“Darwinian” style).



## Of particular interest: “chance-configuration model” (Simonton 1988):

- ▶ Destabilization of existing categories initiates and maintains the incubation phase: unconscious elements permute and combine involuntarily, by chance.
- ▶ Stability properties of particular unconscious configurations of elements imply that they become conscious: illumination.
- ▶ Stability becomes a criterion for the *selection* among many *chance* configurations (“Darwinian” style).
- ▶ How is this stability established?



Wolfgang Pauli  
1900–1958

*The process of understanding nature, as well as the blissful experience in this process, when a new insight becomes conscious, seems to be based on a correspondence, a kind of congruence, of inner images in the human psyche with external objects and their behavior.*

*At this point it seems most satisfactory to me to introduce the postulate of a cosmic order, eluding our direct access, which is distinct from the world of appearances. ... The relation between sensual perceptions and ideas would then follow from the fact that both the soul of the observer and the observed object are governed by the same objective order.*

*(Kepler Article, 1952)*

mental domain  
objects in consciousness

material domain  
objects in physical systems

mental domain  
objects in consciousness

material domain  
objects in physical systems

collective unconscious



holistic quantum reality

unus mundus  
archetypes

quote

Two or more seemingly accidental (not necessarily simultaneous) events are called synchronistic if the following three conditions are satisfied:

Two or more seemingly accidental (not necessarily simultaneous) events are called synchronistic if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- ▶ Any presumption of an **efficient causation** between the events is absurd or even inconceivable.

Two or more seemingly accidental (not necessarily simultaneous) events are called synchronistic if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- ▶ Any presumption of an **efficient causation** between the events is absurd or even inconceivable.
- ▶ The events correspond with one another by a **common meaning**, often expressed symbolically.

Two or more seemingly accidental (not necessarily simultaneous) events are called synchronistic if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- ▶ Any presumption of an **efficient causation** between the events is absurd or even inconceivable.
- ▶ The events correspond with one another by a **common meaning**, often expressed symbolically.
- ▶ Each pair of synchronistic events comprises an internally produced **mental component** and an externally perceived **material component**.



Two or more seemingly accidental (not necessarily simultaneous) events are called synchronistic if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- ▶ Any presumption of an **efficient causation** between the events is absurd or even inconceivable.
- ▶ The events correspond with one another by a **common meaning**, often expressed symbolically.
- ▶ Each pair of synchronistic events comprises an internally produced **mental component** and an externally perceived **material component**.

**Pauli:** the key to synchronicity is a new understanding of the role of statistics and of the relations between chance and final causation. quote

Two or more seemingly accidental (not necessarily simultaneous) events are called synchronistic if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- ▶ Any presumption of an **efficient causation** between the events is absurd or even inconceivable.
- ▶ The events correspond with one another by a **common meaning**, often expressed symbolically.
- ▶ Each pair of synchronistic events comprises an internally produced **mental component** and an externally perceived **material component**.

**Pauli:** the key to synchronicity is a new understanding of the role of statistics and of the relations between chance and final causation. quote

**Jung:** the key to synchronicity is the concept of meaning, “blind chance” is only a limiting case of negligible significance. remark

- ▶ Insight due to operations in or on a given problem space

- ▶ Insight due to operations in or on a given problem space  
in terms of purely cognitive activity  
(from Gestalt psychology to cognitive neuroscience)

- ▶ Insight due to operations in or on a given problem space  
in terms of purely cognitive activity  
(from Gestalt psychology to cognitive neuroscience)  
influenced by unconscious processing  
(depth psychology, Pauli-Jung etc.)

- ▶ Insight due to operations in or on a given problem space  
in terms of purely cognitive activity  
(from Gestalt psychology to cognitive neuroscience)  
influenced by unconscious processing  
(depth psychology, Pauli-Jung etc.)
- ▶ What about scientific insight without problem solving?  
– e.g., Ramanujan

- ▶ Insight due to operations in or on a given problem space  
in terms of purely cognitive activity  
(from Gestalt psychology to cognitive neuroscience)  
influenced by unconscious processing  
(depth psychology, Pauli-Jung etc.)
- ▶ What about scientific insight without problem solving?  
– e.g., Ramanujan
- ▶ What about non-scientific insight and creative work?  
– e.g., Mozart

- ▶ F. Paulhan: *Psychologie d'Invention*. Alcan, Paris, 1901.
- ▶ G. Wallas: *The Art of Thought*. Cape, London, 1927.
- ▶ H. Reichenbach: *Experience and Prediction*. University of Chicago Press, 1938.
- ▶ W. Pauli: *Der Einfluss archetypischer Vorstellungen auf die Bildung naturwissenschaftlicher Theorien bei Kepler*. In Pauli & Jung, *Naturerklärung und Psyche*, Rascher, Zürich, 1952.
- ▶ J. Hadamard: *The Psychology of Invention in the Mathematical Field*. Dover, New York, 1954.
- ▶ D.K. Simonton: *Scientific Genius*. Cambridge UP, 1988.
- ▶ A.I. Miller: *Insights of Genius*. MIT Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- ▶ M. Öllinger and G. Knoblich: *Psychological Research on Insight Problem Solving*. In Atmanspacher & Primas, *Recasting Reality*, Springer, Berlin, 2008.



*The ordering factors must be considered beyond the distinction of 'physical' and 'psychical' – as Plato's 'ideas' share the character of a notion with that of a 'natural force'. I am very much in favor of calling these ordering factors 'archetypes', but then it would be inadmissible to define them as contents of the psyche. Instead, the inner images are psychic manifestations of the archetypes, which, however, also would have to create, produce, cause everything in the material world that happens according to the laws of nature. The laws of the material world would thus refer to the physical manifestations of the archetypes. ... Each natural law should then have an inner correspondence and vice versa, even if this is not always immediately visible today.*

*Pauli to Fierz, 7 January 1948*

◀ return

### *Pauli on statistics (1952):*

*Synchronistic phenomena defy being captured by natural laws because they are not reproducible, i.e. unique, and smeared out by the statistics of large numbers. By contrast, "acausalities" in physics are precisely described by statistical laws (of large numbers). —*

*Wanted: a type of laws of nature that consists of a 'correction of chance fluctuations by meaningful oder purposeful coincidences of acausally connected events'.*

### *Pauli on Darwinism and final causation (1954):*

*This model of evolution is an attempt to theoretically cling, according to the ideas of the second half of the 19th century, to the total elimination of any finality. As a consequence, this has in some way to be replaced by the introduction of chance.*

◀ return

As a relation between the mental and the material, **meaning** can be understood in terms of a **reference relation** resembling the concept of **intentionality** (à la Brentano) in the modern philosophy of mind.

In such a framework, “blind chance” would amount to a reference relation without a fixed referent, where the content of a mental representation may refer to anything.

Such a move would render the Jungian idea of synchronicity much less mysterious than it is usually regarded.

As a direct application to mind-brain issues, **relations between mental states and neural states** encode the meaning that subjects experience in those states.

◀ return



